

Article

Between Cow and Crown: Food, Faith, and Resistance in Vivekananda

Dr. Subhashis Banerjee

Assistant Professor, Department of English, Kohima Campus, Nagaland University, India;
subhashis@nagalanduniversity.ac.in

Accepted version published on 5th June 2025

DOI <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15553928>

Abstract: Swami Vivekananda's encounters with the West offer a unique lens through which to view food not merely as nourishment but as a site of ideological contestation. This paper examines food as a cultural barrier between the East and the West in Vivekananda's speeches, letters, and essays, drawing attention to the spiritual, social, and symbolic roles of food in his thought. A postcolonial analysis examines how Vivekananda challenged colonial notions of civilization and purity by reasserting indigenous food ethics, caste-linked dietary rituals, and the sanctity of restraint. His rejection of beef, critique of Western consumption habits, and advocacy of spiritualised vegetarianism challenged the imperialist notion of universal progress through diet. In this way, food becomes a terrain for cultural negotiation, resistance, and the construction of identity. The paper situates Vivekananda's discourse within the broader framework of postcolonial theory and cultural studies, thereby unpacking how culinary practices are intertwined with colonial hegemony and epistemic dissent.

Keywords: Postcolonialism; Cultural Identity; Food Politics; East-West Encounter

Introduction

Eating, frequently considered a quotidian necessity, assumes profound cultural, spiritual, and ideological significance within colonial and postcolonial discourses. Food is not merely biological sustenance, but a symbolic construct that reflects identity, power relations, ethical codes, and civilizational values. Claude Lévi-Strauss aptly articulated this when he remarked, "Food is not only good to eat, but good to think with" (Lévi-Strauss 39). This symbolic potency of food is particularly resonant in the writings and lived philosophy of Swami Vivekananda (1863–1902), the itinerant monk

who served as a bridge between ancient Indian spiritual traditions and modern global consciousness.

Swami Vivekananda, hailed for his erudite expositions of Vedantic thought at the Parliament of the World's Religions in Chicago in 1893, emerged as a profound commentator on religious philosophy and the broader cultural clash between East and West. Food repeatedly surfaces as a site of cultural distinction and moral commentary in his travelogues, speeches, essays, and personal letters. His reflections on diet—particularly vegetarianism, abstinence from beef, and spiritual fasting—must be interpreted not as personal eccentricities but as cultural assertions that resisted colonial hegemony and affirmed indigenous epistemologies. He recognised that colonialism was not only territorial and economic, but also gastronomical: it sought to reorder Indian culinary consciousness by Western ideals of strength, rationality, and civilisation (Metcalf 203).

Colonial narratives frequently portrayed Indian vegetarianism, especially Brahmanical dietary taboos, as a sign of weakness and backwardness. Thomas Metcalf notes that colonial officials frequently derided Indian food customs as irrational or pathological, presenting British cuisine and meat-eating as symbols of modern progress (203). This culinary civilising mission was implicitly violent; it delegitimised the deeply spiritual and ecologically rooted practices of Hindu dietary codes. Against this backdrop, Vivekananda's dietary practices were grounded in ascetic discipline and operated as silent protests against the epistemic violence of culinary colonialism.

Vivekananda's exposure to the West—primarily through his travels in America and Europe—brought him face-to-face with both curiosity and pressure. He was expected to conform to Western norms, including the consumption of meat and alcohol, which were often viewed as symbols of refinement and masculine vitality. However, in a letter to Alasinga Perumal in 1895, he underscored the moral and metaphysical dimensions of food in Indian culture: "In India, the food question is not a mere question of taste or nutrition, but of religion and morality" (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works* Vol. 5, 320). His refusal to partake in beef, while respecting Western hospitality, becomes a significant cultural gesture. Far from being a parochial choice, this act embodies a postcolonial assertion of indigenous dignity, a subtle yet powerful statement that challenges colonial cultural superiority.

The cow, revered in Hindu cosmology, becomes a metaphor for the sacredness of life and cultural rootedness. Vivekananda's consistent rejection of beef consumption served a religious purpose and symbolised a civilizational resistance. Dipesh Chakrabarty notes that the British often ridiculed Hindu reverence for the cow as an impediment to economic and social progress (124). By upholding this reverence even while immersed in Western environments, Vivekananda enacted what Chakrabarty terms "provincialising Europe"—dismantling the West's claims to universality and reaffirming non-Western moral codes (15).

Moreover, food for Vivekananda was intricately linked to cultivating inner strength and character. He did not merely promote vegetarianism as a religious tenet

but saw it as part of a broader discipline of mind and body. In *Lectures from Colombo to Almora*, he writes, “Character is repeated habits, and repeated habits alone can reform character. The reforming of character means training in eating, sleeping, and every act of life” (Vivekananda 114). He believed that a sattvic (pure) diet fostered clarity of thought and spiritual awareness, essential for personal transformation and national regeneration.

However, Vivekananda was no blind defender of orthodoxy. His reflections are marked by nuance and internal critique. He rejected dogmatic ritualism and caste-based food hierarchies. He lamented in a sharply critical letter, “Our religion has become cooking” (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works* Vol. 5, 338), pointing to the degeneration of spiritual ideals into kitchen-bound legalism. This criticism, while aimed at Hindu orthodoxy, simultaneously resisted colonial caricatures that painted Indian religion as overly ritualistic. Vivekananda was proposing reform from within, not capitulation to external imposition.

Vivekananda’s dietary practices became the subject of fascination and exoticisation in Western contexts. He was admired for his spiritual restraint, often through an orientalist lens. Edward Said explains how the colonised subject is simultaneously romanticised and alienated through the colonial gaze (Said 172). Western media often reported his refusal to drink alcohol or eat meat as quaint, otherworldly acts of the mystical East. However, Vivekananda leveraged this perception to create a counter-narrative that celebrated Eastern values of self-control and spiritual depth without begging for Western approval.

In *The East and the West*, he explicitly contrasts the values underlying food habits in both civilisations: “The West has all the outward good things, the East has the inward discipline” (Vivekananda, *East and the West* 291). Though the formulation might appear reductive, it served a purpose. Vivekananda sought to promote a spiritual philosophy that prioritized inner strength over material wealth. He was not anti-Western; he respected Western science and administration. However, he was deeply sceptical of its materialism, particularly its unbridled consumption and culinary excess. In one of his letters, he dryly remarked, “So much food, and so little soul” (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works* Vol. 7, 307)—a stinging indictment of a civilisation that had abundance but lacked spiritual anchoring.

Vivekananda also understood that food was not only a personal or national issue but a global one. He used it to stage a moral critique of colonial modernity. While many Indians, particularly from the elite classes, adopted Western dietary habits as a sign of status and cosmopolitanism, Vivekananda remained rooted in indigenous ethics, challenging what R.S. Khare describes as the internalization of colonial gastronomic ideals (25). By holding onto traditional food values while engaging global audiences, Vivekananda enacted what Narasingha Sil calls “an intellectual resistance rooted in practice” (Sil 89).

His reflections remind us that food is never neutral; it is always a reflection of our values. It is implicated in systems of belief, power, and identity. In resisting the culinary

imperialism of the West, not by confrontation but by firm conviction, Vivekananda transformed the dining table into a site of philosophical resistance. His body became a text inscribed with indigenous ethics, and his meals were a quiet sermon on the integrity of civilization.

Swami Vivekananda's discourse on food emerges as a complex interweaving of spirituality, ethics, and cultural politics. He recognised the colonial attempt to undermine native foodways and countered it by asserting the sanctity, discipline, and moral gravitas of Indian culinary traditions. Through his rejection of beef, his critique of Western excess, his reformist views on caste purity, and his call for spiritual nourishment through simple eating, he not only preserved a tradition but also redefined it as a mode of resistance. In a world where food has become a site of cultural erasure and appropriation, Vivekananda's thoughts remain remarkably prescient, reminding us of the intimate link between what we eat and who we are.

Theoretical Framework: Food, Colonialism, and Cultural Identity

Food, as both a necessity and a narrative, is central to forming personal and collective identity. It mediates the biological imperative of survival and a wide array of cultural codes, religious meanings, social hierarchies, and ideological contests. Anthropologist Claude Lévi-Strauss famously declared that "food is not only good to eat, but good to think with" (Lévi-Strauss 1966, p. 39), a statement that encapsulates the dual function of food as both substance and signifier. When transported into the context of colonial power relations, this symbolic capacity of food is amplified, particularly when the colonizer attempts to redefine, degrade, or appropriate the culinary customs of the colonized.

During British colonial rule in India, food served as a clear marker of cultural and civilizational differences. The colonial gaze frequently viewed Indian dietary practices—especially vegetarianism and ritual food restrictions—as symptomatic of superstition, caste rigidity, and societal stagnation. This representation was not merely a misunderstanding but a deliberate act of cultural domination. R. S. Khare observes that British commentators often framed Hindu food codes, including fasting and avoidance of meat (particularly beef), as "irrational expressions of religious orthodoxy" and signs of political effeminacy (Khare 1992, p. 25). Such critiques were part of a broader colonial project to promote Western materialism, including its culinary values, as the universal standard of progress and rationality.

This culinary imperialism, however, did not go uncontested. Postcolonial theorists such as Homi K. Bhabha and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak have emphasised how cultural practices, particularly those surrounding the body and consumption, become key sites for epistemic resistance. In Bhabha's theorisation of hybridity, cultural translation under colonial pressure results in ambivalent outcomes, where colonial authority is both mimicked and mocked (Bhabha, 1994). Similarly, Spivak's analysis of the subaltern subject reveals how daily practices, including eating, preserve indigenous knowledge systems even under conditions of epistemic violence. Swami Vivekananda's engagements with food—his critiques of colonial gastronomy, his spiritual valuation of

vegetarianism, and his refusal to abandon dietary codes in the face of Westernisation—must be seen within this postcolonial framework.

Vivekananda's reflections on food are an intellectual resistance to the colonial degradation of Indian epistemes. In his letters and lectures, he repeatedly emphasised that food was not merely a question of taste, convenience, or nutrition, but a moral and spiritual matter. "In India," he famously wrote to Alasinga Perumal in 1895, "the food question is not a mere question of taste or nutrition, but of religion and morality" (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works*, Vol. 5, p. 320). This framing radically contrasts with the colonial attempt to depoliticize food as mere sustenance, instead reaffirming its role in the formation of ethical subjects. His refusal to consume beef in Western societies, despite its cultural normalisation there, thus becomes more than a dietary decision—it becomes an assertion of cultural sovereignty.

Furthermore, Vivekananda's critique of colonial materialism, expressed through his dietary choices, mirrors what Edward Said describes as the resistance to Orientalist caricatures. According to Said, the Orientalist project operates by exoticizing and infantilizing the non-Western subject, portraying them as static, irrational, and spiritually excessive (Said 1978, p. 172). By maintaining his dietary restrictions abroad, Vivekananda complicated this caricature. His refusal to consume meat or alcohol was not borne of cultural backwardness, as the colonial stereotype might suggest, but of a philosophical commitment to restraint and spiritual clarity. In doing so, he inverted the Orientalist gaze: his habits symbolized not a lack but an excess of moral discipline, inner strength, and cultural integrity.

Moreover, Vivekananda's refusal to capitulate to Western culinary norms also subverts the logic of mimicry. While Bhabha suggests that colonial mimicry is a form of camouflage that threatens colonial authority with its near-sameness, Vivekananda's position is even more radical—he rejects mimicry altogether in favour of fidelity to indigenous values (Bhabha 1994, p. 86). In his interactions with Western admirers, he often explained the logic behind Indian dietary practices, thereby refusing to position them as inferior. His public persona—robed in ochre, refraining from meat and wine, and speaking of Vedanta—intentionally disrupted colonial expectations of modernity and progress.

However, Vivekananda's position on food is not fundamentalist. He was critical of religious orthodoxy that had ossified food practices into rigid caste markers. In one of his most striking critiques, he wrote, "Our religion has become cooking," lamenting how spiritual aspirations had been reduced to dietary legalism (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works*, Vol. 5, p. 338). Here, Vivekananda offers a dual critique: against colonial efforts to caricature Hinduism as a kitchen-bound religion, and against internal decadence that had reduced metaphysics to mere menu items. His position is both reformist and resistant—a hallmark of postcolonial thinkers who must navigate inherited traditions and imposed frameworks simultaneously.

Importantly, Vivekananda's conception of food also ties into the discourse of the body in colonial contexts. The colonised body was often racialised, feminised, and

medicalised by colonial science, particularly through diet and hygiene. Indian bodies, said to be enfeebled by vegetarianism and climatic indolence, were contrasted with the robust, meat-eating British physique. Vivekananda fiercely rejected this pseudo-scientific anthropology. For him, strength was not a function of animal flesh but of mental fortitude and moral rectitude. In Lectures from Colombo to Almora, he called upon the youth of India to build character through purity, restraint, and self-discipline, which began with the plate (Vivekananda 2001, p. 114).

His valorisation of satvik food—a diet rich in fruits, vegetables, grains, and dairy, which is believed to foster clarity, peace, and spiritual readiness—resonates with indigenous knowledge systems. These systems, far from static or archaic, are ecological, philosophical, and deeply ethical. In rejecting the colonial disdain for these traditions, Vivekananda enacted what Dipesh Chakrabarty terms a “provincialisation of Europe”—a refusal to see Western values as the sole measure of human progress (Chakrabarty 2000, p. 15). Instead, he offered Indian dietary philosophies as alternative epistemologies that deserved equal if not greater legitimacy.

Khare’s seminal work, *The Eternal Food*, supports this argument by emphasizing that food in Indian traditions is integrally connected with rituals, caste ethics, and notions of bodily purity—not simplistically or oppressively, but as part of a complex symbolic and moral system (Khare 1992, p. 26). Vivekananda neither glorified nor rejected these systems wholesale. He inhabited them critically, reforming where necessary, but consistently refusing to surrender their internal coherence to the coloniser’s judgment.

Thus, food becomes a multilayered symbol in Vivekananda’s writings: a marker of civilizational distinction, a tool for spiritual development, a platform for cultural resistance, and a medium for social reform. By theorising food in this manner, Vivekananda participates in a broader postcolonial discourse that challenges the dominance of Western epistemology through embodied knowledge. He transforms the seemingly banal act of eating into a politically and spiritually charged act, one that affirms the dignity of indigenous lifeways in the face of colonial derision.

Vivekananda’s views on food reflect a rooted and dynamic engagement with the colonial politics of identity. His dietary ethics disrupt Western universalism, challenge colonial representations, and resist internal ossifications. They reassert the power of local knowledge, not as folklore or superstition but as a legitimate source of moral and philosophical wisdom. When viewed through the lens of postcolonial theory, his discourse on food not only nourishes the body but also nurtures a decolonised consciousness.

Vivekananda’s Cultural Vegetarianism: Between Purity and Resistance

Swami Vivekananda’s reflections on food are deeply anchored in the dual domains of spiritual insight and socio-cultural critique. In several of his letters and lectures, he offers commentary on food not merely as a bodily necessity but as a medium for cultivating inner purity, national dignity, and ethical resolve. “You are what you eat,” he would imply, elevating this popular adage into a tenet of philosophical and

moral consequence. His views were not confined to ascetic renunciation; instead, they navigated the tightrope between religious symbolism and postcolonial assertion.

In a letter addressed to Alasinga Perumal in 1895, Vivekananda explicitly wrote, “In India, the food question is not a mere question of taste or nutrition, but of religion and morality” (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works*, Vol. 5, p. 320). This potent but straightforward statement signals a broader epistemology in which dietary practices are infused with spiritual and ethical significance. In rejecting meat, particularly beef, in Western countries, Vivekananda did not engage in mere cultural conservatism; he enacted a principled resistance to Western materialism, indulgence, and epistemic domination. His dietary self-discipline in foreign contexts symbolised a quiet but determined refusal to capitulate to colonial culinary norms.

The cow, long revered in Hindu cosmology as a maternal and sacred figure, occupied a contentious place in the colonial imagination. British colonial narratives often mocked this reverence, portraying beef consumption as a mark of modern masculinity, virility, and progress (Chakrabarty 2000, p. 124). In contrast, Vivekananda’s consistent abstention from beef, even in the face of Western expectations, becomes a symbolic act of cultural preservation and a pointed challenge to the colonial gaze. It is not merely a diet question but one of dignity, belief, and identity.

Food as Spiritual Discipline and National Regeneration

For Vivekananda, the food question extended beyond personal purity into nation-building. He believed that dietary habits directly affected the moral and physical strength of individuals and, by extension, of the nation. Although he did not explicitly address food during his address at the Parliament of the World’s Religions in Chicago in 1893, the spirit of restraint, yogic self-mastery, and ascetic control he preached is deeply connected to Indian traditions of sattvic eating. In the Indian philosophical system, food is categorised into sattvic (pure), rajasic (stimulating), and tamasic (dulling or impure) types, each believed to influence one’s mind and behaviour. Vivekananda strongly advocated this classification, seeing sattvic food as conducive to calmness, clarity, and spiritual discipline (Vivekananda 1991, p. 57).

In *Lectures from Colombo to Almora*, Vivekananda urged Indian youth to cultivate physical, intellectual, and moral strength. He insisted that appropriate dietary regulation was one of the tools for such cultivation. “Character is repeated habits,” he wrote, and such habits begin at the table (Vivekananda 2001, p. 114). Food was not trivial; it was foundational to building national resilience. However, his approach was never fanatical. Vivekananda did not strictly impose vegetarianism on others, recognising that spiritual advancement was less about what one eats and more about the consciousness with which one lives.

He openly challenged narrow dogmatism. “What good is your vegetarianism,” he famously asked, “if your mind is filled with hatred?” (Vivekananda 1991, p. 243). This rhetorical question underscores his nuanced stance. He did not promote food codes as

end-goals, but rather as means to a higher ethical consciousness. Moral clarity, self-control, and inner purity mattered more than strict observance.

The Colonial Gaze and the Culinary Exotic

Vivekananda's dietary habits in the West attracted considerable attention and even fascination. The press often commented on his refusal to consume alcohol or meat, seeing it as part of his "Oriental mystique." This lens, however, was deeply informed by Orientalist binaries that either romanticised the East as a land of spiritual sages or denigrated it as primitive. Edward Said critiques this dynamic in *Orientalism*, where he argues that the colonial subject is constructed by the West in ways that render them simultaneously noble and inferior (Said 1978, p. 172).

Vivekananda was acutely aware of this dynamic and played it to his advantage. While he embraced aspects of Western science, education, and discipline, he did not compromise on his cultural principles. His dietary choices, though seemingly personal, were public declarations of identity. He challenged the Western presumption that spiritual development required assimilation to Western habits. By adhering to his culinary restrictions, Vivekananda defied the colonial fantasy of absorption and instead demonstrated the moral self-sufficiency of the East.

His cultural performativity extended to his daily life abroad. Narasingha P. Sil notes that Vivekananda used his food habits, fasting rituals, and Indian meals as a "everyday asceticism" that affirmed Indian values even within alien contexts (Sil 2002, p. 89). Food became a performative act, a cultural ritual that asserted postcolonial subjectivity.

Food, Caste, and Internal Colonialism

While resisting Western hegemony, Vivekananda was also deeply critical of internal oppression and orthodoxy. In India, food had long been a tool for enforcing caste distinctions. Restrictions on who could eat what, with whom, and from whose hands reflected deeply embedded social hierarchies. Vivekananda denounced such practices as antithetical to the true spirit of religion. In a letter to a disciple, he wrote, "Our religion has become cooking" (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works*, Vol. 5, p. 338), a scathing indictment of how spirituality had degenerated into mere culinary legalism.

His critique was not an abandonment of tradition, but an effort to restore its essence. He believed in a living religion that inspired compassion, unity, and spiritual elevation, not one bound by rigid ritual. His reformist impulses echoed postcolonial concerns about the internalisation of colonial stereotypes. The British often cited caste-based food restrictions as evidence of India's backwardness (Metcalf 1995, p. 203), using them to justify their civilising mission. Vivekananda undermined both colonial caricature and Brahmanical orthodoxy by calling for reform from within.

Thus, he positioned food as a liminal space between the spiritual and the social, the traditional and the reformist. His stance was not one of blanket rejection but of discernment: rejecting what was regressive while preserving what was regenerative.

A Civilizational Divide: Western Materialism vs Eastern Restraint

For Vivekananda, the culinary divide between East and West emulated a more profound philosophical chasm. He frequently contrasted Western cultures of indulgence with the Eastern ideal of restraint. In his essay *The East and the West*, he observed, “The West has all the outward good things, the East has the inward discipline” (Vivekananda 1991, p. 291). Although simplified for rhetorical clarity, this dichotomy conveys his broader critique of Western civilization as materially advanced but spiritually vacuous.

He did not condemn the West entirely. He appreciated its organisation, cleanliness, and scientific achievements. However, he was deeply concerned about the absence of spiritual anchoring in its pursuit of pleasure and progress. In one of his letters, after attending a lavish dinner, he remarked with irony, “So much food, and so little soul” (Nikhilananda, *Complete Works*, Vol. 7, p. 307). This phrase encapsulated his discomfort with a society where external abundance failed to translate into inner fulfilment.

Through these critiques, Vivekananda was not merely drawing cultural contrasts but articulating a philosophy of life. For him, restraint, simplicity, and ethical consciousness were not signs of underdevelopment but indicators of a higher civilizational order. His dietary discourse thus becomes a subtle but powerful rebuke to Western imperial claims of superiority.

Conclusion: Culinary Ethics as Cultural Resistance

Swami Vivekananda’s reflections on food were far from incidental. They formed a coherent part of his broader philosophy, which fused spiritual discipline, cultural pride, and ethical universalism. In his refusal to consume beef, his cautious critique of orthodox vegetarianism, his embodied resistance to Orientalist perceptions, and his call for national regeneration through self-restraint, food became a critical site of postcolonial negotiation.

His insights remain relevant in a global era of culinary homogenisation, cultural appropriation, and consumerist excess. For Vivekananda, food was not just what one put on one’s plate—it was a declaration of identity, an act of ethical living, and a site for reclaiming dignity under colonial pressure. By refusing to eat like the coloniser, he taught India to think, act, and ultimately be differently and defiantly.

Swami Vivekananda’s reflections on food reveal a sophisticated awareness of how culinary practices embody cultural memory, spiritual belief, and colonial anxiety. His dietary decisions were neither rigid asceticism nor cultural chauvinism; they were deeply strategic, rooted in self-respect, spiritual ethics, and a sense of civilizational pride. Through his life and writings, food becomes a lens through which to understand colonial power structures, cultural resilience, and the politics of difference.

In resisting Western culinary norms while embracing functional modern elements, Vivekananda envisioned a self-renewing, rooted yet progressive India. His emphasis on inner purity, ethical consumption, and respect for cultural foodways affirms food as a vital site of postcolonial negotiation. In today’s globalized world, where culinary fusion often veils cultural appropriation, Vivekananda’s philosophy reminds

us of the dignity and depth inherent in food traditions, especially when viewed through the lens of history, identity, and resistance.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing does not apply to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Works cited

Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference*. Princeton University Press, 2000.

Khare, R. S. *The Eternal Food: Gastronomic Ideas and Experiences of Hindus and Buddhists*. State University of New York Press, 1992.

Lévi-Strauss, Claude. *The Raw and the Cooked*. Translated by John and Doreen Weightman, Harper and Row, 1966.

Majumdar, Rochona. "Gastronomic Nationalism in Colonial Bengal." *South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies*, vol. 32, no. 1, 2009, pp. 143–160. Taylor & Francis Online, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00856400802692302>.

Metcalf, Thomas R. *Ideologies of the Raj*. Cambridge UP, 1995.

Nikhilananda, Swami, editor. *The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda*. Vol. 5, Advaita Ashrama, 1953.

—. *The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda*. Vol. 7, Advaita Ashrama, 1953.

Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books, 1978.

Sil, Narasingha P. *Swami Vivekananda: A Reassessment*. Susquehanna University Press, 2002.

Vivekananda, Swami. *The East and the West*. Advaita Ashrama, 1991.

—. *Lectures from Colombo to Almora*. Advaita Ashrama, 2001.

—. *Letters of Swami Vivekananda*. Advaita Ashrama, 1994.

—. *The Complete Works of Swami Vivekananda*. Vols. 1–8, Advaita Ashrama, 1989.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Magnus Publishing and/or the editor(s). Magnus Publishing and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.