The Context: Journal of English Studies
Volume 12, Issue 7, October 2025
ISSN: 2349-4948
www.thecontext.in

Research Article

Spatial Metaphors and the Politics of Belonging in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake* and *The Lowland*

Dr. Shivraj Narendra Kombe

Associate Professor, Yuvashakti Arts and Science College, Amravati, Maharashtra, India;
shivrajkombe@gmail.com

Accepted version published on 5th October 2025

DOI https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17421786

Abstract: Jhumpa Lahiri's novels, *The Namesake (2003) and The Lowland (2013), employ spatial metaphors to critique the institutional forms of displacement experienced by diasporic communities, while illustrating* how these same communities create alternative geographies of belonging. Through textual analysis informed by transnational feminist geography, this paper argues that Lahiri's spatial imagination extends beyond typical narratives of cultural loss to demonstrate how marginalized communities construct counter-cartographies of memory, kinship, and political resistance. The analysis examines three connected themes: the bureaucratic violence in immigration systems, the gendered spatial practices women use to negotiate belonging, and how political trauma from Bengal's Naxalite movement gets transmitted across generations. Comparing how these themes appear in both novels, this research demonstrates how spatial metaphors serve as a political critique that challenges state-imposed citizenship categories and essentialist notions of cultural identity.

Keywords: transnational feminism; spatial metaphors; diaspora literature; immigration bureaucracy; counter-cartographies

Copyright: © 2025 Magnus Publishing, Pune, India.

ISSN 2349-4948

Introduction

When Jhumpa Lahiri wrote in 2014 that "exile is not a material fact but a spiritual fact," she created a tension that runs through both *The Namesake* and *The Lowland* (Lahiri, *In Other Words* 37). Her characters face the very real material consequences of visa applications, border crossings, and the constant threat of deportation. However, they also experience the deeper spiritual displacement that comes from never quite belonging anywhere completely. This contradiction between material and spiritual exile drives much of what makes Lahiri's work so compelling. However, it also highlights a point that literary scholars have often overlooked when analyzing her novels.

Most critics who have written about Lahiri focus on cultural hybridity and identity formation—how her characters navigate between Bengali and American cultures, or how different generations approach assimilation in varying ways. However, this approach misses the explicitly political dimensions of how Lahiri writes about space and place. Recent scholars, such as Ragini Tharoor Srinivasan, have noted that ethnic fiction has been "domesticated" through an emphasis on personal struggles that actually conceal broader structural inequalities (Srinivasan 45). Crystal Parikh makes a similar point when she argues that we need to pay more attention to the "political imaginaries" that emerge from racialization and displacement (Parikh 23).

This paper takes up that challenge by examining how Lahiri employs spatial metaphors—particularly thresholds, lowlands, and archives—not just as literary devices, but as means of critiquing the institutional violence inherent in immigration systems. At the same time, these spatial metaphors demonstrate how diasporic communities create their own alternative geographies of memory and belonging, resisting both state control and traditional cultural expectations. By comparing how these themes are employed in both novels, we can discern patterns in Lahiri's writing that reveal broader structures of power affecting immigrant communities.

The argument here is that Lahiri's spatial imagination does something more complex than simply showing displacement as loss. Instead, her novels demonstrate how marginalized communities create what I am calling "counter-cartographies". Basically, alternative maps of belonging challenge official citizenship categories and also reject traditional notions of cultural authenticity. This reading puts Lahiri's work in conversation with current debates about how literature deals with space, power, and political resistance.

Theoretical Framework: Space, Gender, and Diaspora

To understand how space operates politically in Lahiri's novels, this paper employs transnational feminist geography, particularly the work of scholars such as Caren Kaplan and Inderpal Grewal, who examine how gendered subjects navigate

ISSN 2349-4948

and resist the spatial logic of nation-states. Kaplan's concept of "traveling cultures" helps us understand how diasporic subjects create forms of belonging that transcend territorial boundaries, while Grewal's analysis of "transnational connectivities" reveals how power operates through gendered spatial practices that link intimate domestic spaces to abstract state policies (Kaplan 67; Grewal 89).

This theoretical approach is well-suited to Lahiri's novels because her characters' experiences of displacement intersect with gender, class, and political violence in ways that render space itself a site of both oppression and resistance. Henri Lefebvre's distinction between "representations of space" (imposed by state power) and "representational spaces" (lived and imagined) provides another practical framework for understanding how Lahiri's characters challenge official spatial categories through memory, ritual, and storytelling (Lefebvre 39).

The methodology here focuses on close reading that pays attention to architectural details, geographic references, and how borders and thresholds function symbolically. Following Gayatri Spivak's concept of "planetarity," this analysis examines how Lahiri's spatial metaphors operate across multiple scales, ranging from the intimacy of domestic space to the abstraction of legal categories (Spivak 72).

Bureaucratic Violence and the Architecture of Precarity

Both *The Namesake* and *The Lowland* represent immigration law as creating spatial conditions that amount to a form of structural violence through perpetual uncertainty. In *The Namesake*, this is most clearly evident in scenes that illustrate how state bureaucracy literally shapes identity and mobility. When Gogol goes to change his name at the DMV, Lahiri describes the waiting room in detail: "The fluorescent lights flickered intermittently overhead. The linoleum floor was gray with scuff marks. There were no windows" (Lahiri, *The Namesake* 102). This windowless, institutional space represents how immigration bureaucracy creates what Lauren Berlant calls "slow death" through bureaucratic exhaustion—a wearing down of subjects through endless waiting and form-filling (Berlant 95).

When Ashoke dies, Ashima's isolation really brings the bureaucratic violence of immigration law into focus. Her legal status becomes uncertain without her husband, and this is reflected in her relationship with her own home. Lahiri writes: "She studies the photographs of her family that line the hallway, her parents and Ashoke's, and her children at different stages of their lives. However, now these faces of her past seem to be the only indication that she exists" (Lahiri, *The Namesake* 278). The hallway becomes an in-between space where Ashima's legal and social existence both feel shaky—she is present in the photographs, but somehow not fully present in American space itself.

ISSN 2349-4948

The Lowland picks up this same theme through Gauri's situation with academic visas and her marriage to Subhash. The marriage works as what you could call "paper kinship"—it gets her legal status but also traps her in new ways. The novel makes this connection explicit when Gauri reflects on her situation: "She was aware that her ability to remain in America, to make a life here, depended upon maintaining this relationship. The alternative was a return to India, to a life that no longer made sense to her" (Lahiri, *The Lowland* 145). The marriage becomes a spatial strategy for remaining in America, but one that traps her in domestic expectations she wants to escape.

The recurring image of "the lowland" itself works as a metaphor for the liminal space occupied by those caught between legal categories—neither fully citizens nor deportable subjects. Lahiri describes it as "a low-lying field that had been submerged for centuries," which becomes "a watery, muddy landscape" during the monsoon season (Lahiri, *The Lowland* 12). This geographic feature, which appears and disappears with the seasons, mirrors the precarious legal status of immigrants whose presence in America depends on visas, marriages, and other temporary arrangements that can be revoked at any time.

What emerges from comparing these representations is how immigration law functions as everyday violence that particularly affects women and children who often hold what is called "derivative status"—their legal right to remain depends entirely on their relationship to a male visa holder. Lahiri's spatial metaphors reveal how this system creates architectural forms of control that extend far beyond official government buildings into domestic spaces, university campuses, and even natural landscapes.

Counter-Cartographies of Memory and Kinship

While Lahiri's novels show how official spatial categories create forms of violence, they also demonstrate how female characters create alternative spatial practices that resist both patriarchal Bengali traditions and American assimilationist pressures. These "counter-cartographies" operate through domestic rituals, intellectual work, and correspondence, creating new forms of connection across geographic boundaries.

In *The Namesake*, Ashima's cooking rituals transform the American kitchen into a space of Bengali memory while adapting to local ingredients and schedules. Lahiri describes how "she had learned to prepare turkey, albeit rubbed with garlic and cumin and cayenne, at Thanksgiving, to roast beef for Christmas, to serve a leg of lamb at Easter" (Lahiri, *The Namesake* 64). The kitchen becomes a space where cultural adaptation happens not through simple assimilation but through creative synthesis that maintains a connection to Bengali flavors while incorporating American holiday traditions.

The spatial dimensions of letter-writing create what Gayatri Gopinath calls "impossible desires" that exceed both heteronormative family structures and nationalist belonging (Gopinath 128). Throughout both novels, characters maintain connections through correspondence that create alternative geographies based on emotional and intellectual affinity rather than territorial proximity. In *The Lowland*, the letters between Udayan and Gauri before their marriage establish a form of intimacy that transcends the physical boundaries of the family compound: "The letters had been a bridge between them, spanning the distance between their separate homes" (Lahiri, *The Lowland* 89).

Gauri's academic work represents another form of spatial practice that enables her to inhabit intellectual geographies previously unavailable to generations of Bengali women. When she enrolls in graduate school, Lahiri describes the university library as "a sanctuary where she could disappear for hours, losing herself in books that transported her far from her immediate circumstances" (Lahiri, *The Lowland* 198). The library becomes a transnational space where Gauri can access knowledge and ideas that connect her to intellectual communities beyond the constraints of both traditional Bengali family structures and American domestic expectations.

However, Gauri's abandonment of her daughter Bela represents the darker side of these counter-cartographies. Her rejection of maternal duties is also a rejection of gendered spatial expectations, but one that creates new forms of trauma. The novel suggests that alternative geographies of belonging sometimes require sacrificing conventional forms of care and intimacy, raising complex questions about the costs of resistance.

The recurring motif of maps and atlases in both novels shows how Lahiri's characters create alternative cartographies that privilege emotional and intellectual connections over territorial belonging. In *The Namesake*, Ashoke's father, Gogol, teaches his son geography by showing him "a map of the world, the bright pink of India, the yellow expanse of Russia, the green boot of Italy" (Lahiri, *The Namesake* 25). However, as Gogol grows up, his own geographic imagination becomes more complex, encompassing emotional landscapes that official maps cannot capture.

Revolutionary Ghosts and the Spatial Politics of Trauma

The Lowland explicitly addresses how political violence from the 1970s in Bengal continues to shape spatial relationships in contemporary American settings. The lowland where Udayan is killed becomes what Avery Gordon calls a "ghostly matter" that persists across geographic and temporal boundaries (Gordon 15). Even decades later and thousands of miles away, this landscape continues to influence family relationships and individual choices.

ISSN 2349-4948

The Naxalite movement's spatial politics—particularly the tension between urban intellectual spaces and rural revolutionary sites—continues to shape character development in the novel's American sections. University campuses, libraries, and lecture halls become spaces haunted by earlier political commitments. When Subhash works on his dissertation about environmental science, Lahiri connects his research to his brother's revolutionary politics: "He studied the behavior of bacteria, the properties of sediment, the life that existed in a drop of seawater. But he could not stop thinking about the life that had been taken from his brother" (Lahiri, *The Lowland* 167).

The academic spaces where Subhash and later Gauri work become sites where Bengali political history gets both preserved and neutralized. The American university allows them to continue intellectual engagement with questions of social justice and environmental degradation, but in forms that are separated from the direct political action that cost Udayan his life. This creates what we might call a "political uncanny"—the persistence of revolutionary energies in depoliticized academic settings.

Bela's environmental activism represents both a continuation and a transformation of her biological father's revolutionary politics. She inherits spatial relationships to political trauma that she never directly experienced, but her activism focuses on American environmental issues rather than Third World revolution. Lahiri writes: "She had inherited something from Udayan, some instinct to probe and question, to worry about fairness and justice. But she channeled it differently" (Lahiri, *The Lowland* 321). The novel suggests that political consciousness is transmitted across generations and national boundaries, but assumes new spatial forms that are tailored to different contexts.

This intergenerational transmission of political trauma operates through what Ann Cvetkovich calls "archives of trauma" that preserve revolutionary memory while adapting to new geographic and political circumstances (Cvetkovich 44). The lowland itself becomes such an archive—a landscape that holds the memory of political violence while continuing to shape how subsequent generations understand their relationship to space, place, and political commitment.

Conclusion

This analysis of spatial metaphors in *The Namesake* and *The Lowland* reveals how Lahiri's work functions as a political critique rather than simply an ethnic bildungsroman. Her use of thresholds, lowlands, and archives as structural devices shows how immigration systems create forms of institutional violence while simultaneously demonstrating how diasporic communities construct alternative geographies of belonging that resist state control and cultural essentialism.

ISSN 2349-4948

Examining both novels together reveals patterns in how Lahiri portrays immigrant experiences that extend beyond individual stories. What stands out is how uncertain legal status hits women and children the hardest—especially those whose right to stay depends entirely on someone else's visa. We see domestic spaces working as places where cultural adaptation actually happens, and we see political trauma moving through families, not through explicit conversations, but through relationships to place and memory.

Using transnational feminist geography as a framework really helps make sense of how Lahiri's spatial imagination operates on multiple levels simultaneously. She connects what happens in someone's kitchen or bedroom to abstract legal categories and even to global political movements. This approach to reading challenges the conventional focus on cultural identity in diaspora literature, prompting us to ask more probing questions about state power, bureaucratic violence, and the ways people resist these systems.

There is room to develop this spatial analysis further. Other South Asian American writers, such as Kiran Desai and Hari Kunzru, might employ similar techniques, and it would be interesting to see if Lahiri's Italian-language work continues these patterns. The framework here could also alter how we teach diaspora literature. Instead of treating ethnic fiction as merely providing glimpses into other cultures, we could focus on the political dimensions of displacement and resistance.

What this paper essentially argues is that paying attention to spatial metaphors provides us with better tools for understanding ethnic American literature as politically engaged writing, rather than just stories about cultural authenticity. Lahiri's novels show how literary representations of space can work as a political critique. They expose the institutional violence built into immigration systems while also showing how marginalized communities build their own alternative maps of memory, kinship, and resistance that push back against both state control and rigid cultural expectations.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data sharing policy does not apply to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

ISSN 2349-4948

Works cited

Berlant, Lauren. *Cruel Optimism*. Duke University Press, 2011.

Chen, Tina. "Dissecting the 'Devil's Details': Asian American Masculinity and the Interior Lives of Racial Subjects." *Journal of Asian American Studies*, vol. 14, no. 3, 2011, pp. 303–318.

Cvetkovich, Ann. *An Archive of Feelings: Trauma, Sexuality, and Lesbian Public Cultures*. Duke University Press, 2003.

Gopinath, Gayatri. *Impossible Desires: Queer Diasporas and South Asian Public Cultures*. Duke University Press, 2005.

Gordon, Avery. *Ghostly Matters: Haunting and the Sociological Imagination*. University of Minnesota Press, 2008.

Grewal, Inderpal. *Transnational America: Feminisms, Diasporas, Neoliberalisms*. Duke University Press, 2005.

Kaplan, Caren. *Questions of Travel: Postmodern Discourses of Displacement*. Duke University Press, 1996.

Krishnan, Madhu. "Postcoloniality, Spatiality and Cosmopolitanism in the Open City." *Textual Practice*, vol. 29, no. 4, 2015, pp. 675–696.

Lahiri, Jhumpa. *In Other Words*. Translated by Ann Goldstein, Knopf, 2016.

---. *The Lowland*. Knopf, 2013.

---. *The Namesake*. Houghton Mifflin, 2003.

Lefebvre, Henri. *The Production of Space*. Translated by Donald Nicholson-Smith, Blackwell, 1991.

Patel, Geeta. "Ghostly Appearances: Time, Space, and Language in Jhumpa Lahiri's *The Namesake*." *Meridians*, vol. 9, no. 2, 2009, pp. 36–57.

Parikh, Crystal. *Writing Human Rights: The Political Imaginaries of Writers of Color*. University of Minnesota Press, 2017.

Rajan, Gita. "Ethical Responsibility in Intersubjective Spaces: Reading Jhumpa Lahiri's *Interpreter of Maladies* and Bharati Mukherjee's *The Middleman and Other Stories*." *Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, vol. 40, no. 2, 2005, pp. 85–106.

Roy, Anindyo. "The Aesthetics of an (Un)willing Immigrant: Bharati Mukherjee's Days and Nights in Calcutta and Jasmine." *Hitting Critical Mass*, vol. 2, no. 2, 1995, pp. 23–39.

Sakiz, Elif Toprak. "Narrative and the Mapping of Diaspora Space: Liminalities and Subjectivities in the 'Happy Multicultural Land' of Zadie Smith's White Teeth." *Literature Compass*, vol. 20, no. 1, 2023, pp. 112–127.

Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. *Death of a Discipline*. Columbia University Press, 2003.

ISSN 2349-4948

Srinivasan, Ragini Tharoor. "The Plot Against Diversity: The Ethnic Novel and the End of Identity Politics." *Los Angeles Review of Books*, 15 Dec. 2019, lareviewofbooks.org/article/plot-against-diversity/.

Ty, Eleanor. "Abjection, Masculinity, and Violence in Brian Evenson and Jhumpa Lahiri." *Contemporary Literature*, vol. 49, no. 3, 2008, pp. 383–408.

Vijay, Anand. "Spatial Imaginaries and Collective Identity Formation in South Asian American Literature." *South Asian Review*, vol. 35, no. 2, 2014, pp. 67–89.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: *The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of Magnus Publishing and/or the editor(s). Magnus Publishing and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.*